IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

BILLIE ANN MADISON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	3:09-CV-2265-C
)	
ST. EGYPT HOSPITAL IN MISSOURI,)	
Defendant.)	

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), and an order of the District Court, this case has been referred to the United States Magistrate Judge. The findings, conclusions and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge are as follows:

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

<u>Type of Case</u>: This is an unspecified *pro se* civil action.

<u>Parties</u>: Plaintiff is a resident of Dallas, Texas. Defendant is St. Egypt Hospital in Missouri. No process has been issued in this case pending preliminary screening.

Statement of the Case: On November 25, 2009, Plaintiff filed the complaint in this action along with a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* to establish that she is unable to pay the \$350.00 filing fee. On December 4, 2009, in response to this court's order, she submitted an affidavit in support of her request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, providing additional financial information

<u>Findings and Conclusions</u>: The statute authorizing the court to grant *in forma pauperis* status to an indigent litigant states that:

Subject to subsection (b), any court of the United States may authorize the commencement, prosecution or defense of any suit, action or proceeding, civil or

criminal, or appeal therein, without prepayment of fees or security therefor, by a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such [person] possesses that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the nature of the action, defense or appeal and affiant's belief that the person is entitled to redress.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1).

The mere execution of an affidavit of indigence does not automatically entitle a litigant to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Rather, the court enjoys limited discretion to grant or deny a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* based upon the financial statement set forth within the applicant's affidavit. *Adkins v. E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co., Inc.*, 335 U.S. 331, 337, 69 S. Ct. 85, 88 (1948); *Prows v. Kastner*, 842 F.2d 138, 140 (5th Cir.1988); *Green v. Estelle*, 649 F.2d 298, 302 (5th Cir. Unit A June 1981); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Courts should make the assessment of financial ability after considering whether payment of the filing fee will result in the plaintiff "suffering undue financial hardship." *Prows*, 842 F.2d at 140. "This entails a review of other demands on individual plaintiffs' financial resources, including whether the expenses are discretionary or mandatory." *Id.*

Plaintiff has failed to show that she is a person entitled to proceed without the payment of the \$350 filing fee. She and her husband are presently employed, earning \$43,000 per month.

(See Dec. 4, 2009 Affidavit at 1) While Plaintiff does not own a car, she owns at least two homes in Texas, and three in the state of New York. (Id. at 4). Plaintiff lists monthly expenses of \$6,850. (Id. at 5). Absent additional information, the court concludes that Plaintiff is not a person who is unable to pay the \$350.00 filing fee. Therefore, her motion to proceed in forma pauperis should be denied.

RECOMMENDATION:

For the foregoing reasons, it is recommended that the District Court deny Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

It is further recommended that the District Court dismiss this action unless Plaintiff tenders the \$350.00 filing fee to the District Clerk within ten (14) days of the date of filing of this

recommendation.

Signed this 16th day of December, 2009.

WM. 7. Sayderson, Jr. WM. F. SANDERSON, JR. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

NOTICE

A copy of this report and recommendation shall be served on all parties in the manner provided by law. Any party who objects to any part of this report and recommendation must file specific written objections within 14 days after being served with a copy. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). In order to be specific, an objection must identify the specific finding or recommendation to which objection is made, state the basis for the objection, and specify the place in the magistrate judge's report and recommendation where the disputed determination is found. An objection that merely incorporates by reference or refers to the briefing before the magistrate judge is not specific. Failure to file specific written objections will bar the aggrieved party from appealing the factual findings and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge that are accepted or adopted by the district court, except upon grounds of plain error.